# 60ª RIUNIONE SCIENTIFICA ANNUALE SIE SOCIETÀ ITALIANA DEGLI ECONOMISTI UNIVERSITÀ DI PALERMO 24-26 OTTOBRE 2019

# CHANGING PATTERNS OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGES IN MANUFACTURED GOODS

Bernardina ALGIERI\*<sup>1</sup>, Antonio AQUINO\*<sup>2</sup>, Marianna SUCCURRO\*<sup>3</sup>

\*Department of Economics, Statistics and Finance, University of Calabria, Italy

### Abstract

This article presents an empirical study of the changing patterns of comparative advantages in manufactured goods for a group of EU and non-EU countries. After computing the comparative advantages indices for each country, an econometric analysis is applied to assess how two country-specific attributes, and in particular technology endowments, affect the patterns of comparative advantage for product category. The shifts in the structure of comparative advantages are examined for 31 manufactured product categories in 2001 and 2017. Some policy implications conclude the work.

Keywords: comparative advantages dynamics, manufacturing, technology endowments, technology intensity

**JEL code**: F11, F14, P52, Z32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E-mail: <u>b.algieri@unical.it</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> E-mail: <u>a.quino@unical.it</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> E-mail: <u>m.succurro@unical.it</u>

### 1. Introduction

Shifts over time of comparative advantages in manufactures from technology-rich towards technology-poor countries were first detected by Hoffmeyer (1958). Afterwards these changes were analysed by Posner (1961), Freeman (1963), Hirsch (1965, 1967), Hufbauer (1966), Vernon (1966) and others. Most analyses, however, were restricted to a description of some examples of shifts occurring in particular products and countries. Aquino (1981) proposed a simple OLS methodology for analysing the degree, speed and timing of the shifts in comparative advantages for 25 manufactured products over the years 1962–1974. The author found that several cases of comparative advantage shifts could be detected by the behaviour of the technology-elasticity.

Balassa and Noland (1989) econometrically examined the changing comparative advantages of Japan and the United States across 167 manufactured product categories over the period 1967-1983. In particular, comparative advantages were estimated as a function of inter-industry differences in factor intensities. Japan's patterns of specialization in manufactures were found to have changed significantly, shifting from specialization in unskilled-labour intensive goods to human capital and R&D intensive products. The United States maintained their specialization in physical capital, human capital and R&D intensive goods. Dalum et al. (1998) examined whether 20 OECD countries were characterised by a high degree of stability of their export specialisation patterns at the country level or not and whether these countries have become more or less specialised over a period of nearly three decades from 1965 to 1992. The results showed that the national specialisation patterns were rather sticky, although there was a tendency for countries to de-specialise in the medium to long term. Hiley (1999) investigated the changes in revealed comparative advantages of Asian countries. The author registered a profound change in the structure of manufacturing, involving the transition from simple consumer goods and resourcebased processing activities towards more sophisticated industrial structures. Brasili et al. (2000) analysed the dynamics of trade patterns in the six largest industrialised countries and in eight fast growing Asian economies. For each of these countries the authors investigated the shape of the sectoral distribution of an index of trade specialisation and its evolution over time and found that the advanced countries had a highly persistent trade pattern, whereas the emerging countries showed a rapidly changing trade specialisation. Although emerging countries appeared more specialised than the industrialised countries, both groups showed a tendency toward a reduced polarisation and a more symmetric distribution of the specialisation index. De Benedictis (2005) examined through non-parametric statistical techniques, the Italian export composition and its evolution over three decades starting in the 1970s. The analysis found that several sectors

characterised by comparative disadvantage in the 1980s showed a comparative advantage in the last period of the study. The sectoral composition of exports examined at a high level of disaggregation was found not so similar to that of the new industrialised countries exporting labour-intensive products. More recently, Kim and Kim (2015) investigated the shifts of revealed comparative advantages in manufacturing of the major ASEAN countries for the period 2000-2010 and found that South Korea exported products which were less human capital intensive and more R&D intensive for most of the period. Indonesia, Thailand, and Vietnam were found to have exported products which were less physical (and human) capital intensive and less R&D intensive for the entire period. Malaysia and Philippines exported products which were less physical (and human skilled) capital intensive. Singapore exported products which were more R&D intensive for the entire period. Whang (2017) showed that revealed comparative advantages in manufacturing are positively associated with the rate of decline of the labour share in agriculture. Quantitative experiments indicated that a small difference in a country's comparative advantage can account for a large variation in structural transformation for open economies, which does not require nearly as much differential productivity growth as in closed-economy models.

To broaden the debate on the changing patterns of comparative advantages in manufactured goods, we first carry out a descriptive analysis of the main changes of comparative advantages between 2001 and 2017 for Italy and the other main industrial counties. Afterwards, we perform an econometric analysis of shifts of comparative advantages in 31 manufactured products from technology rich countries towards medium and low technology countries in the framework of the orthodox version (OV) of the product cycle model (PCM) as developed by Hoffmeyer (1958), Freeman (1963), Hufbauer (1966), Hirsch (1965, 1967) and others.

### 2. The theoretical framework

The orthodox version of the PCM identifies the decline over time in the technology of products as the main source of the changes in the patterns of international specialization in manufactures: new products are technology-intensive and technology-rich countries have a comparative advantage in their production; as products grow older their technology-intensity decreases and comparative advantages switch towards countries relatively well-endowed with factors other than highly qualified labour.

The logical structure of the OV of the PCM rests upon two fundamental postulates:

- 1) at any moment in time technology-rich countries have a comparative advantage in technology-intensive products,
- 2) the technology-intensity of products decreases as they grow older.

The first postulate is the central prediction of the skill explanation of the pattern of comparative advantage in manufactures at *any moment in time*. To be true, the skill theory is usually formulated in terms of skill-intensities and skill-endowments while the terms technology-intensities and technology-endowments are used here; for our purposes, however, these two couples of concepts seem to be fairly equivalent.

The second postulate is the crucial element of the OV of the PCM, since it allows us to derive from the *static* skill theory a *dynamic* theory explaining the changes over time in the patterns of comparative advantages in manufactures.

From the above two postulates the prediction follows logically that the comparative advantage in each individual product shifts over time towards countries less and less endowed with technological know-how. PCM is then essentially a dynamization of the skill theory, the dynamic agent being the postulate that the technology-intensity of each product decreases as the product grows older.

The proposition that at *any moment in time* technology-rich (or skill-rich) countries have a comparative advantage in technology-intensive (or skill intensive) products has been the subject of quite a large number of empirical analyses, almost always with positive results. The empirical analysis of the proposition that the technology-intensity of products decreases as they grow older has however received relatively slight attention.

#### **3.** Modelling comparative advantages

The estimation of the impact of a country's technology-endowment upon the comparative advantage in a given manufactured product unquestionably requires the preliminary specification of the 'true' model explaining the pattern of comparative advantages in each single product, *at any moment in time*. To this purpose, we consider the following model specification in line with Aquino (1981):

$$B_{jit} = a_{it} T_{jt}^{e_{it}^T} S_{jt}^{e_{it}^C} \qquad (1)$$

where  $B_{ijt}$  is the Balassa index of revealed comparative advantage of country *j* in product *i* in year *t*; T<sub>*jt*</sub> and S<sub>*jt*</sub> measure, respectively, country *j*'s technology-endowment and home market size;  $a_{it}$ ,  $e_{it}^T$ ,  $e_{it}^C$  are the parameters of the model.

The analysis is carried out for 23 countries and 31 groups of tradable products in 2001 and 2017. To assume that model (1) is the 'true' model explaining the pattern of comparative advantage in each manufactured product at any moment in time implies that:

- the pattern of comparative advantages in each product can be satisfactorily explained in terms of country-attributes,
- the only country-attributes influencing significantly comparative advantage in manufactures are technology-endowments and home market-size;
- 3) the constant-elasticity specification is the 'true' specification of the model.

These are all strong assumptions and the evaluation of the results must of course be taken with caution. Model (1) however, looks fairly close to the best specification we can choose given the present state of knowledge about the main determinants of comparative advantages in manufactures. The importance of inter-country differences in technology-endowments (or skill endowments) in shaping the pattern of comparative advantage in manufactured products has emerged quite clearly from an impressive amount of researches carried out, among the others, by Levchenko and Zhang (2016); Baldwin (2014; 1979), Vollrath (1991), Hirsch (1974), Keesing (1971) and; scale economies were already emphasized by Adam Smith (1776) in his example of the British pin factory and afterwards by Ohlin (1933) as an important factor of specialization. Ohlin, however, saw them simply as introducing an element of random variability in the pattern of specialization; Drèze (1960) put forward the most insightful suggestion so far about the role of scale economies having a systematic role in shaping the pattern of international specialization. He argued that, with scale economies, larger countries have a comparative advantage in products for which international demand is standardized; Hufbauer (1966, 1970) and Katrak (1973) found some empirical support for the more simplistic thesis that larger countries have a comparative advantage in products characterized by larger scale economies.

Some doubts can arise about the theoretical reason for adopting the constant elasticity specification of the model. For example, one could try a parabolic specification in the technology-endowment variable to catch the fact that for medium-technology products the comparative advantage is expected first to increase with the technology endowment of the country, to reach a

maximum for the medium-technology countries, and then to decrease for higher values of the technology-endowment variable. Indeed, a specification of this kind was tried in Aquino (1981), but for most products its explanatory power turned out to be poorer than that of the constant-elasticity specification.

### 4. Some aspects of international trade patterns

Considering the classification of tradable goods at the two-digits level of the SITC (standard international trade classification), we can notice that just 4 out of 97 classes of products accounted for a little less than 47% of total world trade in 2017: electrical machinery and equipment (14.6%); machinery and mechanical appliances (11.8%); mineral fuels and oils (11.1%); vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock (9.1%) (Table A.1, Appendix).

From 2001 to 2017 machinery and mechanical appliances registered a decline of 2.7 percentage points; mineral fuels and oils an increase of 1.1 percentage points; vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock a decline of 0.9 percentage points; electrical machinery and equipment an increase of 0.4 percentage points (Table A.1, Appendix).

### 4.1 Main changes in comparative advantages and disadvantages of Italy from 2001 to 2017

From a country perspective, in 2001, the share of the main four classes of tradable products in Italian exports was less than a third than in world total exports for mineral fuels; about half for electrical machinery; about the same for vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock; substantially higher for non-electrical machinery. The simplest measure of comparative advantages or disadvantages of a country in a certain product, proposed by Balassa (1965), is given by the ratio between the share of the product in that country's total exports and the share of the same product in total world export, usually multiplied by 100. The greater the value of the index above 100 the stronger is the comparative advantage, the smaller the value below 100 the stronger is the comparative disadvantage.

According to this index (Table A.1, Appendix<sup>4</sup>), Italy had in 2001 a strong comparative disadvantage in electrical machinery and a substantial comparative advantage in non electrical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The last column of Table A.1 reports the percentage variation of the Balassa index in each class of products from 2001 to 2017.

machinery; from 2001 to 2017 Italian exports registered a substantial increase both for the disadvantage in electrical machinery (from 52 to 41) and for the advantage in non-electrical machinery (from 139 to 167). For vehicles other than railway there was a shift from a slight comparative disadvantage (90) to a slight advantage (106).

Considering the other products with a share in Italian total exports greater than 1%, from 2001 to 2017 Italy registered a substantial decline in comparative advantages for furniture (-39.2%, from 322 to 196), footwear (-31.5%, from 402 to 275), ceramic products (-27.6%, from 496 to 359), articles of stone (-44.2%, from 380 to 212), articles of apparel and clothing accessories, knitted or crocheted (-16.3%, from 161 to 135). Substantial increases were registered for pharmaceutical products (+23.8%, from 136 to 168), iron and steel (+30.9%, from 95 to 124), articles of leather (+76.5%, from 232 to 409), articles of paper (+53.5%, from 100 to 153).

# 4.2 Main changes of comparative advantages and disadvantages of the other countries from 2001 to 2017

On the base of the Balassa index, we have computed the comparative advantages of other 22 industrial and developing countries in 97 groups of tradable products from 2001 to 2017. We have considered the following countries: Germany, France, the United Kingdom, Spain, Belgium, Czech Republic, Hungary, Ireland, India, the Netherlands, Poland, Japan, Korea Republic, China, the United States Turkey, Bangladesh, the Philippines, Vietnam, Indonesia, Portugal and Greece. However, in order to save space, Table A.2 in the Appendix illustrates the comparative advantages of Germany, France, the United Kingdom, Spain, China and the United States<sup>5</sup> and their percentage variation from 2001 to 2017.

The country that has registered the strongest changes in comparative advantages from 2001 to 2017 seems to have been China. Declines in China's comparative advantages have been registered in particular for articles of apparel and clothing accessories, both not knitted or crocheted (-41.4%, from 425 to 249) and knitted or crocheted (-34.6%, from 378 to 247); toys and games (-23.4%, from 482 to 369); footwear (-46.9%, from 492 to 261); articles of leather (-55.4%, from 646 to 288). Strong increases in comparative advantages were registered by China in machinery, both electrical (from 136 to 181) and non-electrical (from 87 to 142); furniture (from 225 to 285); organic chemicals (from 71 to 101); iron and steel (from 46 to 90).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The comparative advantages of Belgium, Czech Republic, Hungary, Ireland, Japan, Korea Rep., Netherlands and Poland are available upon request.

The US experienced from 2001 to 2017 a decline of comparative advantages in machinery, both on non-electrical (from 136 to 110) and, even more, electrical (from 118 to 77); optical, photographic, cinematographic, measuring, checking, precision, medical or surgical instruments (from 192 to 164). Comparative advantages of the US strengthened in aircrafts (+42.9%, from 319 to 456), while the comparative disadvantage in mineral fuels decreased (from 18 to 80).

Germany registered an increase in its comparative advantages in vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock, and parts and accessories thereof (+13.5%, from 192 to 218) and in machinery, mechanical appliances, nuclear reactors, boilers, parts thereof (+12.6%, from 127 to 143), while it increased its comparative disadvantage in electrical machinery (from 83 to 71).

The UK increased its comparative advantage in vehicles other than railway or tramway (from 85 to 148), in aircrafts (+45.3%, from 172 to 250) and in beverages (+13.9%, from 288 to 328); while she decreased its comparative advantage in natural or cultured pearls, precious or semiprecious stones (-23.8%, from 264 to 201); in electrical machinery (from 109 to 44) and in organic chemicals (from 140 to 101).

France increased its comparative advantage in aircrafts (+71.2%, from 309 to 529), beverages (+18.8%, from 446 to 530), perfumery, cosmetic or toilet preparations (+7.1%, from 435 to 466), articles of leather (+102.5%, from 158 to 320). France decreased its comparative advantage in vehicles other than railway or tramway (-17.4%, from 144 to 119) and increased its comparative disadvantage in electrical machinery and equipment and parts thereof, sound recorders and reproducers, television receivers (from 82 to 55).

Spain registered a relatively modest increase (+6.7%) in its strong comparative advantages in sugars and sugar confectionery (from 956 to 1020) and a great increase (+140.7%%, from 151 to 363) in its comparative advantage in coffee, tea, mate and spices. Spain increased her comparative disadvantage in explosives and pyrotechnic products (from 20 to 9), in vegetable plaiting materials (from 14 to 9), in lac, gums, resins and other vegetable saps and extracts (from 60 to 37), in fur skins and artificial fur (from 50 to 23).

### 5. An econometric analysis of comparative advantage dynamics

In this section we present the results of our estimates of the technology-elasticities and the scale-elasticities of model (1). A significantly positive (negative) value of  $e_{it}^{T}$  would identify the products in which technology-rich (technology-poor) countries have a comparative advantage. Analogously, a significantly positive value of the scale-elasticity  $e_{it}^{M}$  identifies the products in

which the countries with a larger home market have a comparative advantage. Hence, the main shifts of comparative advantage can be revealed by the behaviour of the technology-elasticity as shown in Figure A.1 Appendix (continuous lines), taken from Aquino (1981). For example, a decline over time of  $e_{it}^{T}$  from positive towards negative values would reflect a shift of comparative advantage in product *i* towards countries with a lower technology-endowment.

### 5.1 Comparative advantage shifts and technology endowments in 2001 and 2017

For our comparative advantage equation, we use the Balassa index computed for each country in a specific product as dependent variable, and i) the size of the home market measured on the basis of total GDP in US dollars at current prices and exchange rates and ii) a technology endowment variable<sup>6</sup> proxied by GDP per capita as explanatory variables. Data on GDP have been taken from Datastream, trade data necessary to construct the Balassa indices have been collected from Intracen database (ITC and UN COMTRADE statistics).

The values of GDP per capita in 2001 and 2017 are given in Table 1. The sample includes 23 countries whose GDP per capita ranged in 2001 from a minimum of 403 dollars for Bangladesh and Vietnam to a maximum of 37274 dollars for the US. Excluding the US, other countries with a high GDP per capita are Japan (33846 dollars), Ireland (28227 dollars), the United Kingdom (27428 dollars), the Netherlands (26584 dollars), Germany (23687 dollars), Belgium (23122 dollars), France (22434 dollars), Italy (20401 dollars). Countries with a very low GDP per capita in 2001 are Bangladesh and Vietnam (403 dollars), India (447 dollars), Indonesia (748 dollars), Philippines (957 dollars), China (1053 dollars). Countries with low to medium values of GDP per capita are Turkey (3120 dollars), Poland (4981 dollars), Hungary (5283 dollars), Czech Republic (6609 dollars), Korea Rep (11253 dollars), Portugal (11729 dollars), Greece (12538 dollars) and Spain (15324 dollars).

A very strong convergence of GDP per capita has occurred over the period from 2001 to 2017. For most of the countries with the highest GDP per capita in 2001 the increase in GDP per capita has been smaller than two-fold, while the countries with the lowest GDP per capita in 2001 have registered increases substantially greater than three-fold, with a maximum of an 8-fold increase for China. As a consequence, the ratio of the maximum to the minimum value of GDP per capita has decreased from 93 in 2001 to 36 in 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Other measures of technology-endowments could be the past expenditures on R&D activities, the number of innovations per capita and the total wage cost per working hour. However, the reliability of this kind of data is rather limited.

	2001	2017	2017/2001
Belgium	23122	43324	1.9
China	1053	8827	8.4
Czech	6609	20368	3.1
France	22434	38477	1.7
Germany	23687	44470	1.9
Hungary	5283	14225	2.7
Ireland	28227	69331	2.5
Italy	20401	31953	1.6
Japan	33846	38428	1.1
Korea	11253	29743	2.6
Netherlands	26584	48223	1.8
Poland	4981	13812	2.8
Spain	15324	28157	1.8
UK	27428	39720	1.4
USA	37274	59532	1.6
India	447	1940	4.3
Turkey	3120	10541	3.4
Bangladesh	403	1517	3.8
Philippines	957	2989	3.1
Indonesia	748	3847	5.1
Vietnam	403	2343	5.8
Portugal	11729	21136	1.8
Greece	12538	18613	1.5
MAX/MIN	93	36	

 Table 1 GDP per capita in US dollars, current prices and exchange rates

Source: own elaborations

Table 2 reports the estimation results of model (1) for 2001 and 2017 for a group of selected products.

The coefficient of the variable GDP per capita turned out to be significantly different from zero for several product categories. However, it is useful to clarify that "... explaining the pattern of specialization in each group of products at any moment in time, does *not* mean that the estimated coefficients can be expected to be statistically significant for all industries and all years. ... It does imply instead that, at any moment in time, there are some products (high-technology products) whose pattern of comparative advantage is significantly and positively related to technology-endowments, some products (low-technology products) whose pattern of specialization is significantly and negatively related to technology-endowments, and some other products (medium-technology products) whose pattern of specialization is not related in a statistically significant way

to technology-endowments" (Aquino, 1981, p.47). The same concept applies to scale-elasticities estimates.

On the basis of our econometric results, high-technology products – identified by significantly positive estimates of the technology-elasticity in both 2001 and 2017- are machinery, mechanical appliances; vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock; pharmaceutical products; plastics; articles of iron or steel; furniture; optical, photographic, cinematographic measuring; organic chemicals; ceramic products; aircraft, spacecraft, and parts thereof; fur-skins and artificial fur.

Low-technology products – identified by significantly negative estimates of the technologyelasticity in both 2001 and 2017 - are articles of leather, saddlery and harness; articles of apparel and clothing accessories knitted; fish, crustaceans, molluscs;

The statistically not significant estimates would identify medium-technology products which, on the basis of our estimation results, would be articles of apparel and clothing accessories not knitted; preparations of cereals, flour, starch or milk; glass and glassware; man-made filaments; strip and the like of man-made textile materials; Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of base metal; toys, games and sports requisites; knitted or crocheted fabrics; man-made staple fibres; nickel and zinc.

For the following products, the significance of technology-elasticity has increased from 2001 to 2017: electrical machinery and equipment; iron and steel; miscellaneous chemical products; dairy produce; birds' eggs; honey; edible products of animal origin; clocks and watches; railway or tramway locomotives, rolling stock, suggesting an increase in the technology content of these products.

		200	1	201	7
HSN Code		GDP per capita	GDP	GDP per capita	GDP
'84	Machinery, mechanical appliances	0.406***	0.006	0.717***	0.042
		(0.130)	(0.134)	(0.189)	(0.160)
'87	Vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock	0.642***	-0.028	0.718***	0.102
		(0.169)	(0.174)	(0.200)	(0.170)
'85	Electrical machinery and equipment	0.243	0.012	0.408*	0.011
		(0.195)	(0.202)	(0.232)	(0.197)
'30	Pharmaceutical products	0.442**	0.328	0.729***	0.364*
		(0.207)	(0.214)	(0.236)	(0.200)
'39	Plastics	0.442**	0.328	0.619***	0.006
		(0.207)	(0.214)	(0.117)	(0.099)
'73	Articles of iron or steel	0.350**	0.047	0.494**	0.065
		(0.147)	(0.152)	(0.195)	(0.165)
'94	Furniture	0.601**	-0.224	0.485***	-0.163
		(0.214)	(0.221)	(0.157)	(0.133)

Table 2 OLS estimates of the technology-elasticity and the scale-elasticity

'72	Iron and steel	0.308	0.134	0.445**	0.118
		(0.204)	(0.211)	(0.184)	(0.156)
'62	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories not knitted	-0.328	-0.010	-0.270	0.030
		(0.193)	(0.199)	(0.253)	(0.214)
'90	Optical, photographic, cinematographic, measuring	0.362*	-0.037	0.504**	-0.085
	······································	(0.191)	(0.197)	(0.209)	(0.177)
'42	Articles of leather; saddlery and harness	-0.508***	0.451**	-0.400**	0.206
		(0.176)	(0.182)	(0.179)	(0.152)
'61	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories knitted	-0.250*	-0.002	-0.323**	0.050
		(0.140)	(0.144)	(0.152)	(0.129)
'29	Organic chemicals	0.488*	0.224	0.607**	0.295
		(0.272)	(0.281)	(0.257)	(0.218)
'69	Ceramic products	0.243**	-0.254**	0.278**	-0.029
		(0.119)	(0.123)	(0.130)	(0.111)
'38	Miscellaneous chemical products	0.232	0.239	0.331*	0.258
		(0.152)	(0.157)	(0.188)	(0.160)
'19	Preparations of cereals, flour, starch or milk	0.200	0.105	0.265	-0.015
		(0.154)	(0.159)	(0.178)	(0.151)
'89	Ships, boats and floating structures	0.368*	0.173	-0.031	0.291
		(0.191)	(0.197)	(0.257)	(0.218)
'88	Aircraft, spacecraft, and parts thereof	0.627***	0.184	0.533**	0.228
		(0.200)	(0.207)	(0.224)	(0.190)
'04	Dairy produce: birds' eggs: honey: edible products of animal	0.236	0.140	0.831***	0.024
0.	origin	(0.228)	(0.235)	(0.202)	(0.171)
'70	Glass and glassware	0.220	0.126	0.268	-0.012
10		(0.203)	(0.210)	(0.195)	(0.165)
'54	Man-made filaments: strip and the like of man-made textile	0.220	0.126	0.268	-0.012
	materials	(0.203)	(0.210)	(0.195)	(0.165)
'82	Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of base metal	0.223	0.075	0.292	0.150
		(0.179)	(0.185)	(0.199)	(0.169)
'95	Toys, games and sports requisites	-0.065	0.264	0.126	0.153
		(0.183)	(0.189)	(0.199)	(0.169)
'91	Clocks and watches	0.312	0.427	0.809***	0.301
		(0.296)	(0.305)	(0.235)	(0.199)
'60	Knitted or crocheted fabrics	0.058	0.001	0.204	-0.041
		(0.176)	(0.182)	(0.244)	(0.207)
'86	Railway or tramway locomotives, rolling stock	0.412	0.333	0.939***	0.364*
		(0.303)	(0.313)	(0.244)	(0.207)
'55	Man-made staple fibres	-0.173	0.149	-0.238	0.159
		(0.164)	(0.169)	(0.187)	(0.158)
'43	Furskins and artificial fur	0.948***	-0.505	0.621**	-0.348
		(0.290)	(0.299)	(0.290)	(0.246)
'03	Fish, crustaceans, molluscs	-0.401*	-0.229	-0.491**	-0.051
		(0.201)	(0.208)	(0.186)	(0.157)
'75	Nickel	-0.009	0.698*	-0.095	0.093
		(0.355)	(0.367)	(0.374)	(0.318)
'79	Zinc	0.484	0.027	0.033	0.169
		(0.312)	(0.322)	(0.268)	(0.227)

*Note*: standard errors in parenthesis; \* p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01.

It is interesting to analyse the main shifts of comparative advantages revealed by the technology-elasticity behaviour from 2001 to 2017. The empirical evidence suggests an increasing

technology-elasticity<sup>7</sup> for some products and a decreasing technology-elasticity for other products (Table 3).

Table 3 Changes of technology-elasticities from 2001 to 2017

Increasing technology-elasticity
Machinery, mechanical appliances Vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock Electrical machinery and equipment Pharmaceutical products
Plastics Articles of iron or steel Iron and steel
Optical, photographic, cinematographic, measuring Articles of leather; saddlery and harness Organic chemicals
Ceramic products Miscellaneous chemical products Preparations of cereals, flour, starch or milk
Dairy produce; birds' eggs; honey; edible products of animal origin Glass and glassware Man-made filaments; strip and the like of man-made textile
materials Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of base metal Toys, games and sports requisites Clocks and watches
Knitted or crocheted fabrics Railway or tramway locomotives, rolling stock
Decreasing technology-elasticity
Furniture Articles of apparel and clothing accessories knitted Ships, boats and floating structures
Aircraft, spacecraft, and parts thereof Man-made staple fibres Furskins and artificial fur
Fish, crustaceans, molluscs Nickel Zinc

Source: own elaborations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> An increase in the technology elasticity is here represented mainly by an increase in its statistical significance rather than by an increase in its value.

# Table 4 Classification of tradable products, according to the value and the dynamics of the technology elasticity from 2001 to 2017

One product with a positive technology elasticity, substantially decreased from 2001 to 2017: • Ships, boats and floating structures
<ul> <li>Two products with a significantly positive technology elasticity, substantially decreased from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Aircraft, spacecraft, and parts thereof</li> <li>Furskins and artificial fur</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Ten products with a positive technology elasticity, substantially increased from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Electrical machinery and equipment</li> <li>Pharmaceutical products</li> <li>Plastics</li> <li>Furniture</li> <li>Iron and steel</li> <li>Optical, photographic, cinematographic, measuring</li> <li>Miscellaneous chemical products</li> <li>Dairy produce; birds' eggs; honey; edible products of animal origin</li> <li>Clocks and watches</li> <li>Railway or tramway locomotives, rolling stock</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Two products with a significantly positive technology elasticity, slightly increased from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Machinery, mechanical appliances</li> <li>Organic chemicals</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Three products with a significantly positive technology elasticity, substantial unchanged from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock</li> <li>Articles of iron or steel</li> <li>Ceramic products</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Four products with a slightly positive technology elasticity, substantial unchanged from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Preparations of cereals, flour, starch or milk</li> <li>Glass and glassware</li> <li>Man-made filaments; strip and the like of man-made textile materials</li> <li>Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of base metal</li> </ul>
One product with a slightly negative technology elasticity, substantial unchanged from 2001 to 2017: Man-made staple fibres
One product with a negative technology elasticity, substantial unchanged from 2001 to 2017: • Articles of apparel and clothing accessories not knitted
<ul> <li>Two products with a significantly negative technology elasticity, substantially increased from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Articles of apparel and clothing accessories knitted</li> <li>Fish, crustaceans, molluscs</li> </ul>
One product with a significantly negative technology elasticity, decreased from 2001 to 2017: • Articles of leather; saddlery and harness
<ul> <li>Four products with a zero technology elasticity, unchanged from 2001 to 2017:</li> <li>Toys, games and sports requisites</li> <li>Knitted or crocheted fabrics</li> <li>Nickel</li> <li>Zinc</li> </ul>

Source: own elaborations

An increase over time of the technology-elasticity would reflect a shift of comparative advantage in each product towards countries with a higher technology-endowment. A decline over time of the technology-elasticity would reflect a shift of comparative advantage towards countries with a lower technology-endowment.

There are three products for which the sign of GDP per capita has a negative and significant value, both in 2001 and in 2017: articles of leather, saddlery and harness; apparel and clothing accessories knitted; fish, crustaceans and molluscs. This finding would indicate that a raise in technology endowment by 1% would reduce the specialization in these products by approximately 0.4-0.5%, 0.2-0.3% and 0.4-0.5%, respectively. Articles of leather, saddlery and harness, however, show an increasing technology-elasticity over the 2001-2017 period.

More specifically, on the basis of the results of the econometric analysis, the main tradable products can be classified as reported in Tables 3 and 4.

With reference to scale-elasticities, a significantly positive value identifies the product in which the countries with a larger home market have a comparative advantage. The results show that the country market-size does not have a significant influence on comparative advantages and disadvantages for most products. Indeed, the scale-elasticity turns out to be significantly positive only for pharmaceutical products and railway or tramway locomotives in 2017, articles of leather and nickel in 2001.

The scale-elasticity is significantly negative only for ceramic products in 2001, indicating that countries with a larger home market have a comparative disadvantage in these products, while it is not significant for the remaining industries.

According to Hufbauer (1970) and Katrak (1973), a significantly positive scale-elasticity would identify a product characterized by relatively larger scale economies. According to Drèze (1960), instead, this would identify a product which not only has relatively larger scale economies, but is also characterized by a relatively low degree of standardization of international demand, i.e. a product for which only the countries with a larger home market can take the full benefits of scale economies.

### 6. Conclusions

The present study has examined the main changes in the patterns of comparative advantages in manufactures from 2001 to 2017. The results indicate that there was a significant reduction in the international technological endowment differences across countries. The technology endowment, the main explanatory variable of comparative advantages in manufactures, has been measured by GDP per capita. Particularly strong has been the convergence of China towards the technology level of the main industrial countries. The ratio of GDP per capita of China to the GDP per capita of the US increased from 0.03 in 2001 to 0.15 in 2017: a five-fold increase in less than 20 years! A strong

convergence was also registered, in decreasing order, by Vietnam (3.6-fold), Indonesia (3.2-fold), India (2.7-fold), Turkey (2.1-fold), Philippines (1.9-fold), Czech Republic (1.9-fold), Poland (1.7. - fold) and Hungary (1.7-fold).

The results regarding the behaviour of the technology elasticity have been rather surprising. For most of the products considered the results of the econometric analysis have shown increases of the technology elasticities, suggesting a shift over time of comparative advantages towards technologically more advanced countries, i.e. a reversal of the pattern detected for past periods. This stems most likely from the fact that current international specialization on the lines of comparative advantages takes place more and more at the level of different productive phases of increasingly complex products and productive processes (international fragmentation of production) rather than at the final-product level. The main policy implication of this result seems to be that the effects of tariffs on imports are becoming more and more controversial. For example, given that a substantial share of total cost of many products exported by the US is represented by parts or components produced in China and/or Vietnam, a tariff on the US imports from China and/or Vietnam can hurt significantly the competitiveness of American exports.

#### References

- Aquino, A. (1977), Approcci alternativi alla spiegazione della specializzazione internazionale, Giornale degli Economisti 36, 569 -547.
- Aquino, A. (1978), Intra-industry trade and Inter-industry Specialization as concurrent sources of international trade in manufactures. Weltwirtschaftliches Archive, 114 (2): 275-296.
- Aquino, A. (1981), Changes over time in the pattern of comparative advantage in manufactured goods. An empirical analysis for the period 1962-1974. European Economic Review, 15: 41-62.
- Balassa, B. (1961), Patterns of industrial growth; Comment. American Economic Review 51, 394-397.
- Balassa, B. (1965). Trade liberalization and "revealed" competitive advantage. The Manchester School, 33(2): 99–123.
- Balassa, B. (1977), Revealed competitive advantage: An analysis of relative export shares of the industrial countries, 1952-1971. The Manchester School, 45(4): 327-344.
- Balassa, B. (1979), The changing pattern of comparative advantage in manufactured goods, The Review of Economics and Statistics 61, 259 266.
- Balassa B., Noland M. (1989), The changing comparative advantage of Japan and the United States, *Journal* of the Japanese and International Economies, 3(2): 174-188.
- Balassa, B. (1989). Competitive Advantage, Trade Policy and Economic Development. Harvester, Wheatsheaf, New York.
- Baldwin, R. E., (1979), Determinants of trade and foreign investment: Further evidence. The Review of Economics and Statistics 61, 40-48.
- Baldwin, R., Lopez-Gonzalez J. (2014), Supply-Chain Trade: A Portrait of Global Patterns and Several Testable Hypotheses, *The World Economy*, 1–40.
- Brasili A., Epifani P., Helg R. (2000), On the dynamics of trade patterns, De Economist, 148(2): 233-257
- Dalum, B., Laursen, K., Villumsen, G. (1998). Structural Change in OECD Export Specialisation Patterns: de-specialisation and "stickiness." International Review of Applied Economics, 12(3): 423–443.

- De Benedictis L. (2005), Three Decades of Italian Comparative Advantages, The World Economy, 28(11): 1679-1709.
- Drèze, J. (1960), Quelques reflexions sereines sur l'adaptation de l'industrie Belge au Marchè Commun. Comptes rendus des Travaux de 1a Societè d'Economie Politique de Belgique 275, 3-26.
- Freeman, C. (1963), The plastics industry: A comparative study of research and innovations. National Institute Economic Review 26, 22 -61.
- Hiley M. (1999), The dynamics of changing comparative advantage in the Asia-Pacific region, Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 4(3): 446-467
- Hirsch, S. (1965), The United States electronics industry in international trade. National Institute Economic Review 34, 92.-97.
- Hirsch, S. (1967), Location of industry and international competitiveness (Oxford University Press, Oxford).
- Hirsch, S. (1974), Capital or technology? Confronting the neo-factor proportions and the neotechnology accounts of international trade: Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv 110, 535-563.
- HoffmeyerE. (1958), Dollar shortage (North-Holland. Amsterdam).
- Hufbauer, G. C. (1966), Synthetic materials and the theory of international trade (Duckworth. London).
- Hufbauer. G. C. (1970), The impact of national characteristics and technology on the commodity composition of trade in manufactured goods, in: R. Vernon, ed., 1970, The technology factor in international trade (National Bureau of Economic Research and Co1umbia University City Press, New York) 145 23 1.
- Katrak, H. (1973), Human skills, R&D and scale economies in the exports of the United Kingdom and the United States, oxford Economic Papers 25, 337-360.
- Keesing., D. B. (1971), Different countries labor skill coefficients and the skill intensity of international trade flows, Journal of International Economics 1, 443-452.
- Kim S.J., Kim G. (2015), Changes in the revealed comparative advantage of South Korea and her major ASEAN trading countries, Seoul Journal of Economics, 28(3):325-358
- Levchenko, A., Zhang J. (2016), The Evolution of Comparative Advantage: Measurement and Welfare Implications, *Journal of Monetary Economics* 78: 96–111.
- Ohlin, B. (1933), Interregional and international trade (Harvard Economic Studies).
- Vernon, R. (1966), International investment and international trade in the product cycle, Quarterly Journal of Economics 80, 190-207.
- Vollrath, T., (1991), A theoretical evaluation of alternative trade intensity measures of revealed comparative advantage, *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 127(2), 265–280.
- Whang U. (2017), Structural Transformation and Comparative Advantage: Implications for Small Open Economies, The World Economy, 40(4): 743-763
- Wells, L. (1969), Test of a product cycle model of international trade, U.S. exports of consumer durables, Quarterly Journal of Economics 83, 152-162.

## APPENDIX



Figure A.1 Changes over time of technology-elasticities, 1962-1974

Source: Aquino (1981)

Table A	1 World Export Market Share and Italy's Comparative advantages in	n 2001 and 2017											
				ITALY'S MA	RKET SH	ARES	WOR	LD MAR	KET	ITA AD	ALY'S COMP.		
Code	Product label	Exported value in	Exported value in	2001	2017	2001-	2001	2017	2001-	2001	2017	2001-	$\Delta\%$
TOT	All products	244252016	503053028	100.00	100.00	2017	100	100	2017	100	100	0	01-17
101.	Machinery mechanical appliances	49481412	00474833	20.26	10.00	0.48	14.55	11.84	2.71	130	167	28	10.0
04 '97	Vabicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock	10838640	43701266	8.12	8 60	-0.46	0.06	8 10	-2.71	00	107	16	19.9
185	Flectrical machinery and equipment	17857330	30116015	7.31	5.09	1.30	9.00	14 50	-0.87	52	41	10	20.4
'30	Pharmaceutical products	63/6637	25686968	2.60	5.11	2.51	1 92	3.04	1.12	136	168	-10	23.8
'30	Plastics	9125992	20561454	3.74	4.09	0.35	3.08	3.38	0.31	121	100	-1	-0.5
73	Articles of iron or steel	7458576	17496054	3.05	3.48	0.33	1.47	1.61	0.14	208	216	-1	4.0
75	Mineral fuels and oils	4667018	16262830	1.01	3.40	1.32	10.00	11.01	1 1 1	10	210	10	52.3
27	Furniture	9922840	13655145	4.06	2.71	1.32	1 26	1 38	0.13	322	106	126	30.2
74 72	Iron and steel	4249606	13204024	4.00	2.71	-1.55	1.20	2.11	0.13	922	124	-120	-39.2
12	Articles of appered and elething accessories	7202725	13204024	2.22	2.02	0.00	1.65	1.20	0.20	95	124	29	30.9
100	Anticles of apparel and clothing accessories	5126080	12012990	2.10	2.51	-0.73	2.16	2.29	-0.36	195	75	0	12.2
90	Notural or outputed meetic, precious stores	5220225	12430012	2.10	2.47	0.37	1.04	2.62	0.13	112	67	9	13.2
/1	Factured pears, precious stores	7572451	12143064	2.19	2.41	0.25	0.77	0.82	1.07	112	07	-40	-40.7
04	Poolwear. gatters and the like	2251150	0620600	1.22	1.01	-0.83	0.77	0.62	0.05	221	273	-120	-51.5
142	Articles of leather, and diary and homass	2202159	9020009	1.55	1.91	0.38	0.00	0.05	0.03	221	400	/1	76.5
42	Articles of rearrest and elothing accessories	2303138	9170832	0.94	1.62	0.00	1.24	1.29	0.04	161	409	26	16.2
120	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories	4084760	7224067	2.10	1.75	-0.45	1.54	2.17	-0.03	101	155	-20	-10.5
29	Depart and poperhaard	2081521	7234907	1.07	1.44	-0.25	2.42	2.17	-0.20	100	152	-3	-3.8
40	A huminium and acticles there of	3981321	7079003	1.05	1.41	-0.22	1.05	0.92	-0.72	100	135	35	35.5
/0		28100/1	6401157	1.15	1.27	0.12	1.04	1.01	-0.03	111	127	10	14.5
33	Essential oils ; perfumery. cosmetic	15/9136	5513092	0.65	1.10	0.45	0.56	0.73	0.17	116	151	34	29.6
09		3917602	5444840	1.00	1.08	-0.52	0.32	0.30	-0.02	496	339	-13/	-27.0
38	Miscellaneous chemical products	2026452	5267206	0.83	1.05	0.22	0.92	1.07	0.15	90	98	/	8.2
19	Preparations of cereals. flour. starch or milk	1897437	5218233	0.78	1.04	0.26	0.28	0.40	0.12	2/4	258	-16	-5.9
40	Rubber and articles thereof	2373486	4855912	0.97	0.97	-0.01	0.89	1.05	0.16	109	92	-1/	-15.6
-89	Ships, boats and floating structures	2135636	4/9581/	0.87	0.95	0.08	0.74	0.77	0.03	118	124	6	4.8
199	Commodities not elsewhere specified	3991992	4/38865	1.63	0.94	-0.69	2.99	1.82	-1.1/	55	52	-3	-5.5
88	Aircraft, spacecraft, and parts thereof	2752800	4561914	1.13	0.91	-0.22	1.92	1.86	-0.06	59	49	-10	-16.8
'41	Raw hides and skins (other than fur skins) and leather	38/1352	4419964	1.58	0.88	-0.71	0.38	0.15	-0.23	421	596	175	41.6
08	Edible fruit and nuts	1974499	4162832	0.81	0.83	0.02	0.47	0.66	0.18	170	126	-45	-26.3
°/4	Copper and articles thereof	1152644	3880481	0.47	0.77	0.30	0.59	0.83	0.25	81	93	12	14.8
'20	Preparations of vegetables. fruit and nuts	1579689	3636119	0.65	0.72	0.08	0.33	0.35	0.02	198	206	8	3.8
'83	Miscellaneous articles of base metal	2055631	3560761	0.84	0.71	-0.13	0.37	0.38	0.01	229	186	-43	-18.7
'04	Dairy produce; birds' eggs; honey; edible products of animal origin	969436	3504399	0.40	0.70	0.30	0.48	0.48	0.00	82	144	61	74.8
'32	Tanning or dyeing extracts; dyes. pigments	1348541	3213348	0.55	0.64	0.09	0.57	0.45	-0.11	98	141	43	44.4
'68	Articles of stone. plaster. cement or similar materials	2497867	3106668	1.02	0.62	-0.41	0.27	0.29	0.02	380	212	-168	-44.2
'70	Glass and glassware	1743374	2579865	0.71	0.51	-0.20	0.50	0.41	-0.09	143	126	-17	-11.7
'21	Miscellaneous edible preparations	638166	2549189	0.26	0.51	0.25	0.29	0.39	0.11	91	129	38	41.8
'02	Meat and edible meat offal	861517	2441758	0.35	0.49	0.13	0.67	0.71	0.04	53	68	16	29.6
'15	Animal or vegetable fats and oils	909588	2396935	0.37	0.48	0.10	0.31	0.56	0.25	120	84	-35	-29.4

'51	Wool, fine or coarse animal hair	2584430	2236340	1.06	0.44	-0.61	0.19	0.08	-0.11	559	592	33	6.0
'34	Soap, washing preparations	968395	2232399	0.40	0.44	0.05	0.30	0.33	0.03	133	133	1	0.4
'44	Wood and articles of wood	1283901	2060946	0.53	0.41	-0.12	1.05	0.78	-0.27	50	53	3	5.5
'18	Cocoa and cocoa preparations	324112	2036510	0.13	0.40	0.27	0.20	0.28	0.08	68	145	77	112.7
'54	Man-made filaments: strip and the like of man-made textile materials	1823701	2003434	0.75	0.40	-0.35	0.48	0.27	-0.21	156	150	-6	-4.1
'82	Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of base metal	1055304	1910633	0.43	0.38	-0.05	0.43	0.37	-0.05	101	102	1	0.8
'95	Toys, games and sports requisites	1145084	1872254	0.47	0.37	-0.10	0.71	0.66	-0.05	66	56	-10	-14.7
'07	Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers	819441	1732553	0.34	0.34	0.01	0.37	0.41	0.04	92	84	-8	-8.6
'09	Coffee. tea. mate and spices	302520	1693462	0.12	0.34	0.21	0.20	0.30	0.09	61	114	53	86.6
'56	Wadding, felt and nonwovens; special yarns; twine cordage ropes	882558	1646753	0.36	0.33	-0.03	0.15	0.14	-0.01	237	228	-9	-3.9
'28	Inorganic chemicals; organic or inorganic compounds of precious met.	943584	1641670	0.39	0.33	-0.06	0.67	0.64	-0.03	58	51	-7	-11.4
'96	Miscellaneous manufactured articles	705231	1530895	0.29	0.30	0.02	0.22	0.29	0.07	130	104	-26	-20.0
'52	Cotton	2474982	1432594	1.01	0.28	-0.73	0.60	0.32	-0.28	169	89	-79	-47.1
'91	Clocks and watches	456306	1347079	0.19	0.27	0.08	0.31	0.28	-0.02	61	94	34	55.0
'16	Preparations of meat or fish	334307	1208236	0.14	0.24	0.10	0.26	0.28	0.02	53	87	33	62.5
'49	Printed books. newspapers. pictures	1291807	1188373	0.53	0.24	-0.29	0.42	0.21	-0.21	126	113	-13	-10.3
'60	Knitted or crocheted fabrics	838306	1100212	0.34	0.22	-0.12	0.24	0.20	-0.04	142	111	-32	-22.2
'59	Impregnated. coated. covered or laminated textile fabrics;	794649	1079344	0.33	0.21	-0.11	0.20	0.14	-0.06	159	149	-10	-6.6
'25	Salt; earths and stone; plastering materials. lime and cement	485171	1072534	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.27	0.24	-0.03	73	89	16	22.1
'24	Tobacco and tobacco substitutes	202342	1071438	0.08	0.21	0.13	0.34	0.24	-0.11	24	90	66	271.9
'86	Railway or tramway locomotives. rolling stock	459228	1001762	0.19	0.20	0.01	0.19	0.21	0.02	97	95	-2	-2.6
'23	Residues and waste from the food industries	206993	989991	0.08	0.20	0.11	0.34	0.40	0.06	25	49	25	99.4
'55	Man-made staple fibres	1383893	989422	0.57	0.20	-0.37	0.37	0.21	-0.16	153	96	-58	-37.6
'06	Live trees and other plants; bulbs. roots and the like	444756	939416	0.18	0.19	0.00	0.14	0.12	-0.02	131	156	26	19.5
'10	Cereals	347799	850604	0.14	0.17	0.03	0.56	0.58	0.02	25	29	3	13.7
'63	Other made-up textile articles	542094	839609	0.22	0.17	-0.06	0.31	0.36	0.05	72	47	-26	-35.5
'35	Albuminoidal substances; glues; enzymes	311552	835811	0.13	0.17	0.04	0.17	0.16	-0.01	73	102	29	40.0
'93	Arms and ammunition	308337	603517	0.13	0.12	-0.01	0.08	0.08	0.01	164	143	-21	-12.6
'12	Oil seeds and oleaginous fruits	138146	580234	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.33	0.56	0.23	17	21	4	20.7
'43	Fur skins and artificial fur	210921	542051	0.09	0.11	0.02	0.07	0.05	-0.01	129	206	76	59.0
'58	Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics	348240	510030	0.14	0.10	-0.04	0.12	0.07	-0.05	115	145	30	26.1
'03	Fish. crustaceans. molluscs	288029	481158	0.12	0.10	-0.02	0.69	0.68	-0.01	17	14	-3	-17.8
'65	Headgear	256290	445008	0.10	0.09	-0.02	0.05	0.05	0.01	222	164	-58	-26.0
'47	Pulp of wood or of other fibrous cellulosic material	36252	428993	0.01	0.09	0.07	0.31	0.27	-0.04	5	31	27	553.6
'31	Fertilisers	75961	424077	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.25	0.29	0.04	13	29	16	130.0
'17	Sugars and sugar confectionery	230799	412857	0.09	0.08	-0.01	0.27	0.27	0.00	35	30	-5	-14.6
'11	Products of the milling industry; malt; wheat gluten	204728	391294	0.08	0.08	-0.01	0.09	0.10	0.01	93	77	-16	-16.7
'97	Works of art. collectors' pieces and antiques	85610	346063	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.17	0.16	-0.01	21	44	23	111.7
'75	Nickel	37596	276159	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.14	0.11	-0.02	11	48	37	326.6
'50	Silk	311516	271331	0.13	0.05	-0.07	0.04	0.01	-0.02	348	462	114	32.9
'53	Other vegetable textile fibres	271620	228457	0.11	0.05	-0.07	0.04	0.02	-0.02	249	188	-61	-24.4
'79	Zinc	39552	213213	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.11	0.02	18	39	21	111.5
'26	Ores. slag and ash	54351	209073	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.40	1.47	1.07	6	3	-3	-48.7
'57	Carpets and other textile floor coverings	106997	202345	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.13	0.09	-0.04	33	46	13	38.2
'81	Other base metals	114474	170966	0.05	0.03	-0.01	0.11	0.11	0.00	43	30	-13	-30.4
'13	Lac; gums. resins and other vegetable saps and extracts	86110	163520	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.01	100	80	-20	-20.3

'05	Products of animal origin. not elsewhere included	50609	155979	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.00	38	54	16	41.7
'92	Musical instruments	157372	148571	0.06	0.03	-0.03	0.06	0.04	-0.02	110	82	-28	-25.3
'78	Lead	12298	142151	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.02	19	57	37	190.7
'37	Photographic or cinematographic goods	357423	101001	0.15	0.02	-0.13	0.27	0.08	-0.19	54	24	-30	-54.9
'67	Prepared feathers and down; artificial flowers	26026	63546	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.01	27	27	0	0.4
'01	Live animals	21295	51404	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.15	0.12	-0.02	6	8	2	38.7
'66	Umbrellas. walking sticks. seat-sticks. whips. riding-crops	50851	50356	0.02	0.01	-0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	92	51	-41	-44.4
'45	Cork	58734	47234	0.02	0.01	-0.01	0.02	0.01	-0.01	106	93	-14	-13.0
'80	Tin	8918	44613	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	15	26	11	74.2
'36	Explosives; pyrotechnic products; matches	17340	39793	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	29	32	3	11.3
'46	Manufactures of straw. esparto or other plaiting materials	4568	8593	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	-0.01	10	13	3	32.3
'14	Vegetable plaiting materials	1312	5388	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	7	20	13	193.7

Sources: ITC calculations based on UN COMTRADE statistics. Unit: US Dollar thousand.

Table A.2 Comparative advantages in other industrial countries. 2001-2017

			FRANC	Έ	G	ERMAN	NΥ		SPAIN			UK			CHINA	L		USA	
Code	Product label	2001	2017	Δ%	2001	2017	Δ%	2001	2017	Δ%	2001	2017	Δ%	2001	2017	Δ%	2001	2017	Δ%
'TOTAL	All products	100	100	0.0	100	100	0.0	100	100	0.0	100	100	0.0	100	100	0.0	100	100	0.0
'84	Machinery. mechanical appl.	91	98	7.3	192	218	13.0	254	212	-16.6	131	125	-4.7	136	181	33.0	136	110	-19.3
'87	Vehicles other than railway or tramway rolling stock	309	529	70.9	127	143	12.6	61	63	3.2	85	148	73.0	87	142	64.1	118	77	-34.8
'85	Electrical machinery and equipment	144	119	-17.5	83	71	-14.2	29	50	71.2	81	71	-11.9	225	285	26.6	18	80	358.6
'30	Pharmaceutical products	82	55	-33.0	152	192	25.7	51	36	-30.1	241	243	1.2	425	249	-41.3	319	456	43.0
'39	Plastics	217	197	-9.0	125	153	22.9	54	244	353.6	264	201	-24.1	378	247	-34.7	90	103	14.7
'73	Articles of iron or steel	110	121	10.8	141	130	-7.9	115	112	-2.0	109	44	-60.0	77	95	23.4	192	164	-14.4
'27	Mineral fuels and oils	446	530	18.7	156	155	-0.8	91	117	28.1	172	250	45.0	82	92	12.4	118	118	-0.7
'94	Furniture	435	466	7.1	133	146	9.6	616	444	-27.8	129	124	-4.0	20	36	82.7	103	108	4.5
'72	Iron and steel	86	92	7.6	131	131	0.1	63	201	216.2	11	195	1652.3	154	157	1.9	89	96	7.3
'62	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories	143	135	-5.8	14	17	14.8	134	142	5.9	80	77	-3.2	482	369	-23.5	107	158	48.0
'90	Optical. photographic. cinematographic. measuring	25	25	-0.8	105	86	-18.2	126	105	-16.8	288	339	17.6	71	101	41.7	108	108	-0.3
'71	Natural or cultured pearls. precious stones	172	217	26.6	160	162	1.4	649	519	-19.9	140	101	-27.8	492	261	-46.9	157	167	6.1
'64	Footwear. gaiters and the like	127	98	-23.0	101	80	-20.7	60	109	81.4	71	75	5.9	46	90	95.6	284	306	8.0
'22	Beverages. spirits and vinegar	36	42	17.2	130	152	16.8	161	284	75.9	643	946	47.2	32	14	-55.5	236	207	-12.4
'42	Articles of leather; saddlery and harness	115	91	-20.9	92	87	-5.2	305	309	1.1	154	132	-13.9	646	288	-55.5	78	74	-4.7
'61	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories	158	320	102.8	109	113	3.8	57	132	132.7	196	182	-7.4	452	325	-28.2	140	149	7.0
'29	Organic chemicals	259	280	8.2	121	113	-6.5	254	224	-11.9	80	75	-6.3	98	131	33.7	37	49	31.8
'48	Paper and paperboard	158	118	-25.3	182	198	8.5	81	66	-18.4	42	78	83.5	53	99	86.7	91	111	21.5
'76	Aluminium and articles thereof	118	131	11.4	32	24	-22.9	162	196	21.0	55	65	19.2	68	87	26.8	117	146	24.7
'33	Essential oils ; perfumery. cosmetic	62	88	43.4	113	102	-9.3	78	129	64.4	257	401	56.0	206	292	41.5	102	82	-19.4
'69	Ceramic products	212	183	-13.5	47	57	21.1	96	126	31.1	39	63	61.5	34	88	158.6	108	115	6.4
'38	Miscellaneous chemical products	88	102	15.4	107	100	-6.1	106	130	23.5	146	176	20.4	128	298	133.1	125	125	0.1
'19	Preparations of cereals. flour. starch or milk	170	226	33.4	162	150	-7.2	192	114	-40.5	68	75	10.3	46	22	-53.4	331	484	46.3
'40	Rubber	55	70	27.5	32	54	65.8	140	82	-41.2	72	64	-11.2	164	202	23.0	66	74	13.5
'89	Ships. boats and floating structures	81	55	-32.2	149	175	17.7	578	374	-35.3	106	156	47.6	212	368	73.4	66	50	-24.3
'99	Commodities not elsewhere specified	43	87	102.1	63	88	38.9	181	159	-12.2	85	54	-36.4	54	67	24.3	69	81	18.3
'88	Aircraft. spacecraft	136	107	-21.3	63	80	27.0	36	32	-10.8	131	155	18.4	99	171	72.5	164	155	-5.4
'41	Raw hides and skins and leather	129	93	-28.0	99	93	-6.2	318	296	-7.0	50	76	50.9	281	235	-16.2	167	212	27.1
'08	Edible fruit and nuts	180	155	-13.8	171	154	-10.2	88	124	40.7	55	76	37.3	229	209	-8.8	133	139	4.7
'74	Copper	150	158	5.7	160	176	10.0	222	122	-44.9	33	60	83.3	195	179	-8.6	41	83	102.1
'20	Preparations of vegetables and fruit	141	178	26.5	31	64	106.2	168	200	19.4	148	121	-18.4	160	103	-35.5	97	112	15.9
'83	Miscellaneous articles of base metal	115	148	29.2	113	123	8.6	76	60	-21.7	60	100	65.4	82	78	-5.8	92	155	68.2
'04	Dairy produce; birds' eggs; honey; edible products of	114	129	13.5	117	119	1.4	19	18	-2.8	27	66	147.3	142	86	-39.3	109	140	28.1
	animal origin																		1
'32	Tanning or dyeing extracts; pigments	91	68	-24.7	77	62	-19.6	275	205	-25.4	57	50	-12.5	270	258	-4.6	50	56	12.2
'68	Articles of stone. plaster. cement or similar materials	62	190	206.4	55	71	28.5	137	144	5.0	43	99	130.7	154	175	13.3	75	68	-9.4
'70	Glass and glassware	62	69	10.5	99	111	12.6	81	87	8.3	128	45	-64.7	180	120	-33.3	39	26	-32.5
'21	Miscellaneous edible preparations	209	167	-20.3	107	148	37.4	150	137	-9.1	73	67	-8.1	442	229	-48.2	104	92	-11.6
'02	Meat	109	108	-0.7	49	57	16.1	192	194	1.1	140	238	69.8	300	144	-52.2	386	417	7.9
'15	Animal or vegetable fats and oils	259	358	38.1	112	126	12.4	58	68	16.9	31	35	13.2	173	97	-44.1	57	51	-9.6
'51	Wool. fine or coarse animal hair	152	152	0.1	122	170	40.0	124	245	97.8	76	70	-8.3	83	226	174.1	88	92	3.9
'34	Soap. washing preparations	112	52	-53.6	139	153	10.1	111	104	-6.5	96	90	-6.4	14	11	-26.3	113	82	-27.2

'44	Wood and articles of wood	75	70	-6.4	73	79	9.1	163	119	-26.8	32	58	78.8	80	69	-14.8	70	75	7.4
'18	Cocoa and cocoa preparations	93	56	-39.8	105	132	26.1	229	134	-41.4	27	87	217.2	40	34	-14.3	92	81	-12.7
'54	Man-made filaments; strip and man-made textile mat.	95	102	7.5	121	105	-13.0	126	109	-14.0	51	66	29.4	7	15	107.7	24	60	154.0
'82	Tools implements cutlery spoons and forks of base metal	53	54	2.2	121	165	36.1	66	96	46.1	152	64	-57.9	59	92	55.0	149	138	-7.6
'95	Toys. games and sports requisites	163	219	34.3	98	85	-13.6	52	66	28.1	92	79	-14.2	877	572	-34.8	72	65	-10.8
'07	Edible vegetables. roots and tubers	109	92	-15.9	52	79	53.8	187	173	-7.4	148	176	19.0	34	36	3.7	64	88	37.4
'09	Coffee. tea. mate and spices	117	116	-1.1	61	40	-33.9	151	363	140.7	13	70	438.5	81	156	91.6	125	86	-31.0
'56	Wadding felt nonwovens; special yarns; twine cordage	127	137	8.7	58	80	38.1	60	88	46.7	76	57	-25.0	28	29	6.1	143	143	0.1
'28	Inorganic chemicals; organic or inorganic compounds of	128	180	40.6	66	62	-6.1	87	94	7.5	29	48	65.3	197	75	-61.8	62	38	-39.0
	precious metals																		
'96	Miscellaneous manufactured articles	47	49	4.0	49	56	13.8	81	41	-49.4	28	29	4.3	238	298	25.2	109	99	-9.4
'52	Cotton	42	39	-6.9	195	133	-31.8	226	134	-40.9	129	130	1.4	494	357	-27.8	141	164	16.3
'91	Clocks and watches	29	85	189.4	122	131	6.9	52	95	81.9	85	59	-30.9	280	312	11.5	81	77	-5.0
'16	Preparations of meat or fish	66	68	2.9	68	65	-5.1	77	72	-6.8	139	280	101.1	8	9	11.3	98	118	20.3
'49	Printed books. newspapers. pictures	106	78	-26.1	29	39	36.3	154	202	31.4	84	45	-46.7	44	77	75.2	40	13	-66.2
'60	Knitted or crocheted fabrics	261	212	-18.9	47	29	-37.8	104	91	-13.1	45	45	-0.5	50	47	-6.8	68	54	-21.5
'59	Impregnated. coated. covered or laminated textile fabri.;	79	75	-4.4	70	67	-3.9	111	91	-18.1	16	16	-1.6	52	38	-28.1	95	108	14.1
'25	Salt; earths and stone; plastering materials. cement	86	83	-3.2	18	23	30.4	85	81	-4.3	106	70	-33.7	247	126	-48.8	86	103	19.3
'24	Tobacco and tobacco substitutes	103	165	60.2	39	50	28.4	129	158	21.9	78	43	-45.5	186	60	-67.9	21	11	-46.2
'86	Railway or tramway locomotives. rolling stock	34	65	93.0	14	20	42.8	136	113	-16.9	46	75	64.4	100	47	-52.8	131	171	31.1
'23	Residues from the food industries	50	43	-14.2	63	103	64.8	66	77	16.7	90	110	21.7	36	77	113.1	35	18	-48.2
'55	Man-made staple fibres	90	49	-45.6	110	119	8.0	110	68	-38.8	100	146	46.4	140	137	-2.7	50	51	0.8
'06	Live trees and other plants; bulbs. roots	105	102	-3.0	72	43	-40.8	137	78	-42.8	85	76	-10.7	32	29	-9.1	55	68	24.0
'10	Cereals	104	69	-33.4	77	99	29.0	29	37	24.1	69	40	-41.7	103	21	-79.9	161	58	-63.7
'63	Other made-up textile articles	63	96	51.6	32	19	-39.4	53	64	21.2	21	26	25.8	1035	594	-42.7	44	38	-12.8
'35	Albuminoidal substances; glues; enzymes	103	118	15.1	30	38	28.5	86	113	31.1	32	38	18.1	447	176	-60.7	37	48	29.0
'93	Arms and ammunition	212	290	36.8	105	120	15.2	89	179	102.7	22	18	-18.4	36	15	-58.2	69	113	62.6
'12	Oil seeds and oleaginous fruits	73	91	24.7	22	21	-1.8	39	50	29.2	53	43	-19.4	215	117	-45.4	51	46	-11.0
'43	Fur skins and artificial fur	74	39	-47.2	69	72	4.8	50	23	-54.6	78	59	-24.5	22	29	30.2	43	41	-4.7
'58	Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics	87	50	-42.9	94	37	-60.3	120	107	-10.5	7	11	73.3	55	18	-67.0	57	43	-24.8
'03	Fish. crustaceans. molluscs	82	62	-24.5	46	52	12.4	93	63	-32.4	89	84	-5.3	263	190	-27.9	22	22	1.5
'65	Headgear	215	342	59.3	30	61	101.3	108	202	87.7	65	35	-46.1	1163	501	-56.9	14	11	-21.1
'47	Pulp of wood or of other fibrous cellulosic material	82	40	-50.9	94	121	28.7	289	286	-0.8	198	85	-57.0	72	146	104.2	20	32	58.5
'31	Fertilisers	40	32	-18.8	66	24	-63.9	97	80	-17.4	25	20	-18.8	42	25	-41.8	146	132	-9.7
'17	Sugars and sugar confectionery	128	106	-17.5	40	21	-48.0	956	1020	6.7	7	10	45.1	847	420	-50.4	24	26	4.9
'11	Products of the milling industry; malt; wheat gluten	0	4	1244.1	65	33	-49.0	35	36	3.1	212	63	-70.2	58	58	-0.5	84	53	-37.4
'97	Works of art. collectors' pieces and antiques	23	24	4.8	54	40	-24.4	116	86	-25.9	50	95	90.6	382	193	-49.5	76	74	-3.1
'75	Nickel	46	109	136.0	62	45	-27.1	41	51	24.5	13	3	-74.3	47	6	-88.0	77	59	-23.3
'50	Silk	63	18	-71.5	93	136	45.7	70	89	26.7	58	63	8.8	14	7	-54.1	54	29	-46.5
'53	Other vegetable textile fibres	9	4	-56.0	53	50	-5.3	43	81	87.0	21	13	-39.8	437	148	-66.1	159	208	31.3
'79	Zinc	58	145	149.6	72	59	-17.7	85	56	-34.2	38	36	-5.1	9	2	-74.8	104	129	24.1
'26	Ores. slag and ash	126	63	-50.1	86	54	-37.1	30	45	48.9	141	13	-90.4	70	5	-92.7	114	89	-21.9
'57	Carpets and other textile floor coverings	64	58	-10.7	6	3	-54.4	92	132	44.0	53	84	58.3	90	18	-79.5	92	45	-50.9
'81	Other base metals	72	83	15.3	38	44	16.5	29	21	-29.7	31	15	-53.1	15	5	-64.3	27	24	-12.2
'13	Lac; gums. resins and other vegetable saps and extracts	114	189	66.2	118	87	-25.6	60	37	-38.5	43	68	58.3	45	25	-43.6	16	26	66.7
'05	Products of animal origin. not elsewhere included	73	75	2.1	98	70	-27.7	219	57	-74.2	36	45	23.3	89	20	-77.4	38	36	-6.5

'92	Musical instruments	41	26	-35.4	35	62	77.9	9	69	679.7	15	20	35.3	15	16	9.8	45	35	-21.0
'78	Lead	30	47	59.4	66	59	-11.4	18	18	-1.4	89	46	-48.2	5	6	11.7	42	24	-42.4
'37	Photographic or cinematographic goods	107	26	-75.9	48	19	-60.6	24	28	18.5	101	45	-55.2	9	12	31.5	18	21	15.9
'67	Prepared feathers and down; artificial flowers	99	173	75.0	16	21	30.4	7	12	76.4	13	24	85.8	262	10	-96.2	38	29	-25.1
'01	Live animals	79	18	-76.7	29	27	-5.3	59	35	-41.0	32	20	-37.8	5	4	-14.7	9	6	-29.0
'66	Umbrellas walking sticks seat-sticks whips riding-crops	36	38	8.1	27	37	38.7	54	47	-13.4	37	61	64.6	1	2	115.9	39	47	21.0
'45	Cork	65	97	47.8	48	31	-36.6	37	47	27.7	35	16	-54.3	8	7	-16.0	9	13	46.0
'80	Tin	27	26	-4.5	14	27	100.2	27	41	50.7	44	23	-48.1	199	104	-47.9	25	16	-37.7
'36	Explosives; pyrotechnic products; matches	21	32	56.6	32	12	-61.4	20	9	-54.2	8	13	61.5	361	7	-97.9	9	5	-40.8
'46	Straw. esparto or other plaiting materials	11	8	-25.3	27	23	-16.2	64	45	-29.6	11	14	31.8	470	8	-98.3	12	9	-28.3
'14	Vegetable plaiting materials	32	43	36.3	57	11	-81.3	14	9	-34.9	4	16	344.4	13	9	-30.3	10	7	-26.9

Sources: ITC and own calculations based on UN COMTRADE statistics. Unit: US Dollar thousand.